# Contrasting patterns of somatic mutations in neurons and glia reveal differential predisposition to disease in the aging human brain

**Authors:** Javier Ganz<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>, Lovelace J. Luquette<sup>4,\*</sup>, Sara Bizzotto<sup>1,2,3,5\*</sup>, Craig L. Bohrson<sup>4</sup>, Hu Jin<sup>4</sup>, Michael B. Miller<sup>1,2,3,6</sup>, Zinan Zhou<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Alon Galor<sup>4</sup>, Peter J. Park<sup>4,7,#</sup>, Christopher A. Walsh<sup>1,2,3,#</sup>.

### Affiliations:

<sup>1</sup> Division of Genetics and Genomics, Manton Center for Orphan Disease Research, Department of Pediatrics, and Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA 02115, USA.

<sup>2</sup> Departments of Pediatrics and Neurology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA.

<sup>3</sup> Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, 02142, USA.

<sup>4</sup> Department of Biomedical Informatics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA.

<sup>5</sup> Sorbonne Université, Institut du Cerveau (Paris Brain Institute) ICM, Inserm, CNRS, Ho pital de la Pitié Salpe trière, Paris, France.

<sup>6</sup>Departments of Pathology and Neurology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA 02115, USA.

<sup>7</sup> Division of Genetics, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA 02115, USA.

<sup>\*</sup> These authors contributed equally.

<sup>#</sup> Correspondence to: <u>christopher.walsh@childrens.harvard.edu; peter\_park@hms.harvard.edu</u>

#### SUMMARY

Characterizing the mechanisms of somatic mutations in the brain is important for understanding aging and disease, but little is known about the mutational patterns of different cell types. We performed whole-genome sequencing of 71 oligodendrocytes and 51 neurons from neurotypical individuals (0.4 to 104 years old) and identified >67,000 somatic single nucleotide variants (sSNVs) and small insertions and deletions (indels). While both cell types accumulate mutations with age, oligodendrocytes accumulate sSNVs 69% faster than neurons (27/year versus 16/year) whereas indels accumulate 42% slower (1.8/year versus 3.1/year). Correlation with single-cell RNA and chromatin accessibility from the same brains revealed that oligodendrocyte mutations are enriched in inactive genomic regions and are distributed similarly to mutations in brain cancers. In contrast, neuronal mutations are enriched in open, transcriptionally active chromatin. These patterns highlight differences in the mutagenic processes in glia and neurons and suggest cell type-specific, age-related contributions to neurodegeneration and oncogenesis.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Somatic mutations, glial cells, oligodendrocytes, oligodendrocyte precursor cells, aging, brain disorders, brain cancer, gliomagenesis, glioma.

#### **1 INTRODUCTION**

2 Somatic mutations accumulate in every tissue of the human body throughout life, via mechanisms that depend on intrinsic tissue physiology and exogenous agents<sup>1-8</sup>. Because human 3 tissues comprise diverse cell types with unique properties, quantifying cell type-specific rates 4 5 and mechanisms of somatic mutation is fundamental to understanding aging and disease 6 initiation at the tissue level. Although previous studies have addressed somatic mutations in aging human neurons<sup>4,9-11</sup>, mutations in glial cells—which represent more than half of the 7 8 cellular content of the brain and play primary roles in several brain disorders—have not yet been 9 examined. Abnormalities of white matter (WM), which consists mostly of glial cells, are hallmarks of normal brain aging<sup>12,13</sup> as well as neurodegenerative<sup>14,15</sup> and neuropsychiatric 10 disorders<sup>16</sup>, but the causes of these changes are unknown. Furthermore, glial progenitor cells are 11 the cell-of-origin of many brain tumors<sup>17</sup>, and recent findings showed that WM in non-diseased 12 human brain is enriched with clonal oncogenic mutations compared to grey matter<sup>18</sup>. 13

14 Oligodendrocytes (OLs) are the main cell type of the WM, and OL dysfunction has been reported in certain brain tumors, age-related disorders<sup>19,20</sup>, psychiatric disorders<sup>21,22</sup> and immune-15 related multiple sclerosis<sup>23</sup>. OL generation in humans begins during the second trimester of 16 gestation, peaks at birth and during the first years of life, and continues into adulthood, though at 17 reduced rates<sup>24,25</sup>. Unlike neurons, which mostly arise before birth, OLs are replenished 18 throughout postnatal life by resident oligodendrocyte-precursor cells (OPCs)<sup>24,26</sup>, with the rate of 19 replenishment diminishing with age<sup>27</sup>. Dysregulation of proliferation and differentiation in the 20 OL lineage is involved in brain cancer, and OPCs are recognized as the cell of origin in some 21 gliomas<sup>28-31</sup>. Thus, in contrast to neurons, OLs may be subject to mutational processes related to 22 DNA replication and can potentially undergo positive selection relevant for cancer insurgence<sup>32</sup>. 23 24 In this study, we assessed genome-wide rates and patterns of aging-related somatic 25 mutations in OLs compared to neurons isolated from the same individuals with single-cell 26 whole-genome sequencing (scWGS). In addition, we generated single-cell Assay for 27 Transposase-Accessible Chromatin with high-throughput sequencing (scATAC-seq) data from these brains and integrated new as well as published<sup>8</sup> single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) 28 29 data obtained from individuals in the same cohort (Figure 1A). Joint analysis of these data 30 showed OL- and neuron-specific rates and patterns of somatic mutation accumulation, with DNA

31 replication and transcription playing significant roles in OL and neuronal mutagenesis,

32 respectively, and captured features of mutational processes in the differentiated OLs as well as in

33 precursor OPCs. The substantial differences in somatic mutation localization between these two

34 adjacent and interacting cell types informs cell type-specific contributions to distinct age-related

- 35 diseases.
- 36

#### 37 **RESULTS**

#### **38** OLs accumulate somatic mutations at different rates than neurons

39 OLs were isolated by antibody staining of nuclei prepared from post-mortem cortical brain tissue, selecting SOX10-positive and NEUN-negative nuclei by fluorescence-activated 40 41 nuclear sorting (FANS). scRNA-seq performed on the sorted populations confirmed >99% purity 42 for both mature OLs and neurons sorted by NEUN positivity (Figure S1, Methods). Overall, 71 43 OLs were obtained from the prefrontal cortex (PFC) of 12 neurologically normal individuals spanning 0.4 to 83 years of age (Table S1); 31 single-OL genomes were amplified by primary 44 template-directed amplification (PTA)<sup>10,33</sup> and 40 were amplified by multiple-displacement 45 amplification (MDA) before PTA became available. Finally, we combined our single-OL 46 scWGS with 51 PTA-amplified neurons previously generated<sup>10</sup> from 17 individuals, including 11 47 which overlap our OL cohort. Notably, due to the higher rate of technical artifacts caused by 48 MDA<sup>10</sup>, we focused on PTA-amplified samples except where indicated. Following scWGS, 49 50 somatic single-nucleotide variants (sSNVs) and small (1-30 base pair (bp)) insertions/deletions (indels) were identified genome-wide using SCAN2, an algorithm we developed recently<sup>10</sup> 51 52 (Table S2). To focus on somatic mutations acquired during aging rather than development, high 53 allele frequency clonal sSNVs and indels were excluded by removing somatic calls supported by 54 one or more reads in matched 30-45X bulk DNA sequencing. Compared to neurons, scWGS of OLs revealed higher yearly rates of sSNV accumulation 55

but lower rates of indel accumulation. As is the case with neurons and many other cell types<sup>3,4,9-</sup> <sup>11,34</sup>, the increase in OL sSNV burdens was remarkably linear with respect to age, with a rate of 27 sSNVs/year (95% CI: 25.0-28.9), which is significantly greater than the neuronal rate of 16 sSNVs/year (CI: 14.9-17.4, Figure 1B; for the difference,  $P = 9.6 \ge 10^{-17}$ , *t*-test for coefficients in a linear mixed model (LMM *t*-test), see **Methods**). At birth, OLs contained 60% more sSNVs

61 per genome compared to neurons (intercept: 191 vs 119), though this difference was not

```
62
      significant (P = 0.15, LMM t-test). Unlike sSNVs, indels accumulated more slowly in OLs than
63
      in neurons (1.8 (CI: 1.56-2.07) versus 3.1 (CI: 2.61-3.61) indels/year, respectively, P = 1.42 x
      10^{-5}, LMM t-test, Figure 1B). Indel burdens at birth were comparable between cell types.
64
      Deletions were more prevalent than insertions in both cell types, consistent with previous
65
      reports<sup>10,35</sup> (Figure S2A); however, OL indels were mostly single-bp deletions, while neurons
66
67
      carried greater numbers of 2-4bp deletions and 1bp insertions (Figure S2B), likely representing
68
      distinct mechanisms of indel generation.
69
              OL and neuronal mutations showed opposite biases for genic regions, suggesting
70
      different mechanisms of mutagenesis and different consequences for gene integrity. OL sSNVs
      were enriched in intergenic regions (13%, P < 10^{-4}; all P-values for enrichment analyses based
71
72
      on permutation tests, see Methods) and depleted in introns and coding regions (15.4%, P < 10^{-4})
73
      and 19.7%, P = 0.0024, respectively) (Figure 1C). This pattern was replicated in MDA-amplified
74
      OLs (Figure S2C, see Methods). Neuronal sSNVs were instead overrepresented in genes
      (12.7\%, P = 0.0047 \text{ in exons}; 5.2\%, P < 10^{-4} \text{ in introns}) and depleted in intergenic regions (5.4\%, P < 10^{-4} \text{ in introns})
75
76
      P < 10^{-4}). Indels mirrored these patterns but often with greater effect sizes: in genes, OL indels
77
      were depleted (34.8%, P = 0.0656 in exons; 4.9%, P = 0.17 in introns) whereas neuronal indels
      were strongly enriched, especially in exons (78.6%, P < 10^{-4} in exons; 19.3%, P < 10^{-4} in
78
      introns), as previously reported<sup>10</sup>. In general, a larger fraction of neuronal mutations were
79
      predicted (by SnpEff<sup>36</sup>, see Methods) to functionally impact genes (Figure 1D). Strikingly, the
80
81
      rate of indels with the most severe gene-altering effects was ~3-fold higher in neurons than in
      OLs. Due to the small number of mutations overall, no significant mutation enrichment or
82
83
      depletion was detected for any individual genes after correction for multiple hypothesis testing
84
      (Figure S2D).
```

85

#### 86 OL somatic mutations produce signatures associated with cell proliferation

Analysis of mutational spectra and signatures indicated shared and cell type-specific
mutational mechanisms in OLs and neurons. The spectrum of OL sSNVs closely matched the
spectra of highly proliferative hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs, cosine similarity
0.946),<sup>9,34,37,38</sup> whereas neurons were only marginally similar to HSPCs (cosine similarity 0.773,
Figure 2A). Surprisingly, the OL spectrum was less similar to neurons (cosine similarity 0.887)
than to HSPCs, likely reflecting a contribution of cell proliferation to OL somatic mutagenesis.

93 To explore mutagenic mechanisms, we identified single base substitution (SBS) mutational signatures for the identified sSNVs using the COSMIC catalog  $(v3.1)^{39}$ . Ten of the 94 95 COSMIC SBS signatures passed our thresholds for activity in either OLs or neurons (Figure 2B, 96 Methods) and the remainder were removed to reduce overfitting. Signatures SBS5 and SBS89 97 were the most prevalent signatures in both cell types, and both signatures accumulated at higher 98 rates in OLs compared to neurons (SBS5, 10.2 versus 6.95 sSNVs/year, P = 0.014; SBS89, 5.5 99 versus 1.95,  $P = 6 \times 10^{-11}$ , Figure 2B-C, LMM *t*-test). SBS5 is a clock-like signature that 100 accumulates independently of cell division, whereas SBS89 was recently reported in colon crypts<sup>3</sup> but has no known etiology. Signatures SBS1 and SBS32 were strongly associated with 101 age in OLs ( $P < 10^4$  for both signatures, LMM *t*-test) but were nearly absent in neurons (P = 0.17102 103 and P = 0.55, LMM *t*-test). SBS32 is a C>T signature recently reported as a component of the HSPC spectrum<sup>34</sup> and SBS1 is a clock-like signature associated with cell division<sup>40</sup> and 104 105 accumulated at rates of 2.63 sSNVs/year and 0.20 sSNVs/year in OLs and neurons, respectively 106 (Figure 2B-C). Since mature OLs are post-mitotic, SBS1 may be generated primarily during the 107 OPC stage; if true, the linear accumulation of SBS1 with age in mature OLs implies surprisingly 108 constant levels of OPC division during adult life. Only SBS16, a signature associated with 109 transcription, accumulated at a higher rate in neurons, with 1.59 sSNVs/year compared to 0.38 110 sSNVs/year in OLs, consistent with the enrichment of neuronal mutations in transcribed genomic regions and in line with previous reports<sup>4,10</sup>. 111

112 Two pairs of closely related OLs, which likely trace their ancestry to common OPCs, 113 illustrate the extent to which signatures of early- and late-life mutations differ, and represent 114 permanent maps of developmental lineages and age-related changes. Despite filtering high allele 115 frequency clonal sSNVs by removing mutations found in bulk tissues, two OL pairs from two 116 individuals (subjects 5559 (PTA OLs) and 5657 (MDA OLs), 19.8y and 82y, respectively) 117 shared unusually high levels of sSNVs (68 and 64 sSNVs, respectively, Figure 2D), indicating 118 common ancestry. In both subjects, the shared sSNVs were mostly C>T transitions at CpG sites 119 and fitting to the COSMIC signatures revealed a 38% contribution from the cell-division-related 120 signature SBS1. Each pair of OLs also contained similar numbers of private sSNVs (179 and 173 for subject 5559; 897 and 1017 for subject 5657), consistent with equal lifetimes for each of the 121 122 cognate OLs after the division of their most recent common ancestor (MRCA) OPC. The 123 mutational spectrum of private sSNVs was similar to the OL spectrum (Figure 2A,D) and, after

124 fitting to COSMIC and removing MDA-associated artifacts (Methods), the spectrum was primarily explained by SBS89 and SBS5 (34% and 20%), followed by SBS12, SBS1 and SBS32 125 126 (15%, 9% and 9%, respectively, Figure 2D). We estimated the age at which the MRCA divided 127 in each subject by adjusting private sSNV counts for calling sensitivity and dividing by our 128 yearly sSNV accumulation rate (see Methods). This placed the MRCA of subject 5559 near 129 birth and the MRCA of subject 5657 between 0-20 years of age. Comparison of mutation spectra 130 with infant OLs (subjects aged 0-2 years old) provided orthogonal evidence that the MRCA 131 occurred during infancy. Indeed, the spectrum of shared sSNVs matched the spectrum of infant 132 OL sSNVs with a cosine similarity of 0.97 (Figure 2E). Crucially, the neuronal sSNV spectrum from the same infant subjects contained far fewer SBS1-like C>Ts at CpG dinucleotides, 133 134 implying that the increase in SBS1 occurred in an OL-specific lineage and does not reflect early 135 clonal sSNVs that evaded our filters. We speculate that the most likely MRCA time coincides with a burst of OL generation that occurs in the young human brain  $(0-10 \text{ years of age})^{24}$ . The 136 137 relationships of these two pairs of cells suggest that shared mutations mark a permanent forensic 138 lineage tree, while non-shared mutations represent a linear timer of when any two cells separate 139 from a common progenitor.

140 Indel (ID) signatures revealed shared and specific mutational processes further 141 distinguishing OLs from neurons (Figure 3A-C). ID4, a signature representing  $\geq$ 2bp deletions and associated with transcriptional mutagenesis $^{41}$ , was most strongly correlated with age in 142 neurons, as previously reported  $^{9,10}$ , but was almost completely absent in OLs (P = 0.534, LMM 143 144 *t*-test; Figure 3C). ID5 and ID8, two clock-like indel signatures, were present in both cell types, 145 with ID8 correlated more strongly with age in neurons than in OLs. The two remaining clocklike indel COSMIC signatures, ID1 and ID2, were not detected, but they are difficult to identify 146 in PTA data due to similarity with sequencing artifacts<sup>10</sup>. ID9, which is characterized by 1bp 147 148 deletions, was the most prevalent signature in OLs and accumulated at a rate of 0.54 indels/year; 149 in neurons, the accumulation was significantly lower at 0.20 indels/year (P = 0.0017, LMM ttest). Interestingly, this ID9 signature is also found in a large fraction of adult gliomas<sup>42</sup> as well 150 as in a considerable fraction of other brain tumors $^{39}$ . 151

152

#### 153 OL SNVs are enriched in inactive genomic regions

154 Our earlier observation that OL mutations were depleted in genes—opposite to the 155 pattern in neurons (Figure 1C)—suggested different determinants of mutagenesis in these two 156 cell types. Comparison of somatic mutation density to additional data types, including scRNA-157 seq, scATAC-seq, replication timing, and chromatin marks, revealed that OL mutations are 158 enriched in chromatin that is either inaccessible, untranscribed, or which harbors repressive 159 histone marks—which we refer to as inactive chromatin—in striking contrast to neuronal 160 mutations. We first compared somatic mutation densities to gene expression levels from brain 161 scRNA-seq data for three subjects in our cohort (1465, 4638 and 4643; 40,083 PFC cells in total; Figure 4A)<sup>8</sup>. OL sSNVs were depleted by 25%-32% in the top few deciles of expression 162 measured in OLs (Figure 4B,  $P < 10^{-4}$ ; all *P*-values in this section are from permutation tests) 163 164 and were similarly depleted in all other cell types detected in our scRNA-seq data. The negative 165 association between transcription level and somatic mutation density in OLs was confirmed using bulk brain RNA-seq data from the Genotype Tissue Expression Consortium (GTEx)<sup>43</sup> 166 (Figure S3A). Indels in OLs were not significantly enriched or depleted, possibly due to a lack of 167 168 statistical power caused by the relatively low number of somatic indels in OLs (Figure 4B). 169 Next, brain scATAC-seq data representing ~82,000 cortical cells obtained from ten

170 subjects in our cohort (see **Methods**) revealed a strong depletion of OL sSNVs in open 171 chromatin (Figure 4C,D). In the decile of the genome with the highest chromatin accessibility 172 from OLs identified in scATAC-seq data, OL sSNVs were depleted by 29% ( $P < 10^{-4}$ ). Slightly 173 weaker sSNV depletions were observed for the remaining cell types (mean 21% for the top 174 decile of chromatin accessibility), with OPCs showing the second strongest depletion signal 175 (24%,  $P < 10^{-4}$ ). A weak but negative trend between OL indel density and chromatin 176 accessibility was also observed (Figure 4D).

Data from the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE)<sup>44</sup> and the Roadmap 177 Epigenomics Project<sup>45</sup> further confirmed enrichment of OL mutations in inactive chromatin. 178 First, OL sSNVs were significantly enriched in late-replicating regions of the genome (which 179 tend to be less transcriptionally active) as determined by RepliSeq data from the ENCODE 180 project (mean 39% in the latest replicated decile,  $P < 10^{-4}$ ; Figure 4E, S3B). Comparison to 181 182 histone marks from the Roadmap Epigenomics Project revealed negative associations between 183 OL sSNVs and marks of open chromatin, transcription and active regulatory elements 184 (H3K27ac, H3K36me3, H3K4me1, H3K4me3 and H3K9ac) and positive associations with

repressive marks (H3K9me3 and H3K27me3)<sup>46</sup> (Figure 4F-G). Chromatin state annotations from 185 ChromHMM<sup>46</sup>, which classify chromatin based on an ensemble of histone marks, further 186 187 confirmed the pattern of OL mutation enrichment in inactive or inaccessible genomic regions, 188 with OL sSNVs overrepresented in heterochromatin (state 9, 24% enrichment, P = 0.0036) and quiescent regions (state 15, 11% enrichment,  $P < 10^{-4}$ ), and depleted in the transcriptionally 189 active states 1-7 (Figure 4H). The strongest depletion of OL sSNVs across all genomic 190 191 covariates analyzed in this study was observed for active transcription start sites (ChromHMM 192 state 1, mean 50.4% depletion across brain tissues) and was not specific to any of the 13 193 Roadmap Epigenomics brain tissues (Figure S3C). An orthogonal dataset of active promoters in neurons, oligodendrocytes, microglia and astrocytes from flow-sorted cell populations<sup>47</sup> further 194 195 confirmed the strong depletion of OL sSNVs in promoters (mean depletion 51%) and again there 196 was no marked preference for the cell type from which the promoters were measured (Figure 4I). 197 The distribution of neuronal mutations differed from OLs across all the genomic 198 covariates we tested: neuronal sSNV and indel rates increased with gene expression, chromatin 199 accessibility and active histone modifications and decreased with inactive histone modifications

(Figure 4A-G). Unlike OLs, somatic mutations in neurons were more specifically associated with
transcription levels measured in brain tissues (Figure S3A) and especially with single cell
transcriptomic and chromatin accessibility signals from neurons (Figure 4B,D). Neuronal
mutations showed little association with replication timing (Figure 4E), which is unsurprising
since most neuronal mutations are acquired in the post-mitotic state and clonal somatic mutations
were largely removed by our bulk filters.

206 To further understand the action of mutational processes in the two cell types, we 207 correlated SBS mutation signature exposures (rather than total mutation density) to the 208 previously discussed genomic covariates. In OLs, SBS1 density generally followed the patterns 209 of total mutation density, with positive associations with inactive chromatin and late replication 210 timing (Figure 5A). The distribution of SBS1 in neurons mimicked that of OLs and, in particular, 211 was strongly positively associated with replication timing, suggesting that neuronal SBS1 may 212 have accumulated during cell divisions in neurogenesis. SBS16 (a T>C signature associated with 213 transcriptional activity) exposure in neurons was positively associated with active histone marks, 214 gene expression, and chromatin accessibility levels from excitatory and inhibitory neurons (Figure 5B). Consistent with the known transcribed-strand bias of SBS16, neuronal T>C 215

mutations exhibited the largest transcribed-strand bias of all mutation types in this study (Figure
S4). Interestingly, despite neurons being post-mitotic, SBS16 density trended negatively with
replication timing, likely reflecting higher gene density in early replicating regions.

219 Although SBS5 is the most prevalent signature in both OLs and neurons, it did not 220 accumulate in the same genomic regions in these two cell types, particularly with respect to 221 expression levels (Figure 5C). In OLs, patterns of SBS5 exposure showed little difference from 222 the aggregate somatic mutation density, with negative associations with active epigenetic marks, 223 gene expression and open chromatin and positive associations with inactive marks and late 224 replicating regions. However, in neurons, SBS5 was not significantly associated with any of the 225 covariates tested, with only a marginally significant positive trend with scRNA-seq expression in 226 excitatory neurons (top 33%, P = 0.08, Figure 5C). These observations suggest that either SBS5 227 is generated by cell type-specific mechanisms or that SBS5 may not be a fully decomposed 228 signature—in particular, it may be contaminated by the transcription-associated SBS16, 229 consistent with the marginally significant association with expression levels in neurons—as 230 previously suggested<sup>9</sup>.

231

#### 232 The OL mutation density profile resembles that of glial-derived tumors

233 Patterns of somatic mutation in cancer often contain sufficient information to identify the cell type from which a tumor emerged<sup>48</sup>; thus, we explored whether our normal OL sSNV 234 235 densities resembled those from a large collection of cancer WGS data from the Pan-Cancer Analysis of Whole Genomes (PCAWG) project <sup>42</sup>. OL sSNVs were positively correlated with 236 237 somatic mutation densities of all cancer types from PCAWG whereas neuronal sSNVs were not 238 correlated with any tumor type (Figure 6A). Specifically, for OL mutations, the highest 239 correlations observed corresponded to glial-derived tumors of the central nervous system (CNS) 240 for which OPCs are thought to be the cell of origin (CNS-GBM, glioblastoma multiforme and CNS-Oligo, oligodendroglioma<sup>28-31</sup>. 241

Our scATAC-seq data allowed further cell type-specific evaluation of cancer sSNV
densities. Among all tumor types in PCAWG, GBM sSNV density was best predicted by OPCspecific scATAC-seq tracks using a regression model, with 44% of variance in GBM sSNV
density explained (Figure 6B). This provides additional evidence that OPCs are the cell of origin
for GBM tumors and that scATAC-seq is a powerful approach for determining the cell-of-origin

for a tumor<sup>48</sup>. Expression levels from scRNA-seq were far less effective in explaining cancer
mutation density, explaining only 6% of variance in the best case (Figure 6C).

249 Finally, we tested whether cancer-associated genes were more likely to be mutated in 250 OLs compared to neurons. For each tumor type, we determined the 100 most frequently mutated 251 genes and computed an odds ratio (OR) indicating whether mutations in OLs (OR > 1), neurons 252 (OR < 1) or neither cell type (OR=1) were more likely to occur in the frequently mutated genes. 253 In general, OL sSNVs were biased toward cancer-associated genes (OR near 1.1 for most cancer 254 types, Figure 6D), likely reflecting the general similarities between OL and cancer sSNV 255 mutation densities. However, OL sSNVs were clearly biased toward genes mutated in CNS 256 tumors, with the highest odds ratios observed for oligodendrogliomas (CNS-Oligo, OR=1.35, P 257  $= 1.6 \times 10^{-7}$ , Fisher's exact test) and pilocytic astrocytomas (CNS-PiloAstro, OR=1.31, P =  $3.1 \times 10^{-6}$ ). Analysis of the top N cancer mutated gene lists for N = 10 to 500 confirmed that these 258 259 findings did not depend on our choice of cutoff N = 100 (Figure S5). Altogether, the similarities 260 between OL and cancer mutation patterns suggest a contributing relationship between OL 261 mutations—especially those acquired at the OPC stage—and tumorigenesis.

262

#### 263 **DISCUSSION**

Our integrative analysis of somatic mutations uncovered OL-specific mutational 264 265 processes during aging compared to neurons and suggests how these differences may predispose to diseases such as cancer. Our study design provides a unique opportunity to explore how 266 267 different cell types sharing the same microenvironment for years—or even decades—can exhibit 268 contrasting features that ultimately shape the human brain. An additional advantage of our design 269 is that comparison of OLs and neurons measured by the same single-cell DNA sequencing 270 technology helps to rule out the possibility that differential mutation rates or genomic 271 distributions reflect technical artifacts or biased representation of specific genomic regions. 272 Somatic mutation burdens increase linearly in both OLs and neurons with age; however,

OLs accumulate 69% more sSNVs than neurons and 42% fewer indels. The apparent low indel rate in OLs may reflect a high rate of indel mutagenesis in neurons compared to other cell types, as reported by previous studies<sup>9</sup>. Some of the excess sSNVs in OLs (e.g., those attributed to SBS1) are likely associated with cell division in ancestral OPCs<sup>49</sup>, but due to lacking information regarding the mechanisms of SBS5, SBS32 and SBS89 mutagenesis, it is not clear what

278 processes account for the remaining excess sSNV burden in OLs. A recent study highlighted the 279 importance of cell proliferation-independent sources of somatic mutations in normal cells and 280 hypothesized that the interplay between cell type-specific DNA damage and repair processes may underlie differences in mutation burden between cell types<sup>9</sup>. Hence, less efficient DNA 281 282 repair processes in OLs-rather than additional DNA damage-may be a plausible explanation 283 for the excess OL sSNV burden compared to neurons. Follow-up studies mapping DNA repair sites in OLs versus neurons might be needed to address this question 50-52. Another important 284 285 factor differentiating mutations in OLs and neurons is the strength of selective forces acting 286 during aging. Aging neurons cannot be subject to positive selection, and negative selection is 287 likely limited to highly deleterious mutations that induce cell death. OLs, on the other hand, 288 include mutations accumulated by postnatal cycling OPCs in which both positive and negative 289 selective effects may be present. Thus, the subset of OL somatic mutations acquired at the 290 ancestral OPC stage are of particular importance since they can expand clonally and amplify 291 deleterious effects.

292 OL mutations are more prevalent in transcriptionally inactive and/or inaccessible chromatin and resemble patterns reported in cancer<sup>32</sup> and other proliferative cells<sup>48</sup>. Associations 293 294 between OL somatic mutation density and genomic covariates generally were not cell type- or 295 tissue-specific; however, it is important to interpret this observation in light of the fact that OL 296 mutations represent a combination of mutations accrued during the OPC and mature OL states. 297 Neuronal mutations were characterized by a strongly contrasting pattern of enrichment in 298 transcriptionally active, open chromatin with clear preference for genomic covariates measured 299 in brain tissue and, specifically, excitatory neurons.

300 Mutational signature analysis was helpful in interpreting some contributing factors to the 301 overall mutational burden and to its accumulation over time. SBS1 was prevalent in OLs and 302 nearly absent in neurons, consistent with previous characterizations of SBS1 as a cell divisiondependent mutational clock<sup>40</sup> and at odds with a recent study which estimated a nearly 10-fold 303 greater SBS1 rate in human neurons<sup>9</sup>. SBS5 made up the majority of mutations in both OLs and 304 305 neurons, but was distributed differently across the genome in the two cell types. One attractive 306 explanation for this is differential repair: i.e., that SBS5-associated DNA damage may occur 307 throughout the genome but be more efficiently repaired in certain genomic regions in a cell type-308 specific manner. However, concerns of contamination by other signatures and other algorithmic

309 issues may yet be to blame; for example, the current COSMIC catalog was generated primarily 310 by cancer exomes and genomes, and signatures present in post-mitotic cells are likely to be 311 under-represented. In addition, despite the dozens of single cells we sequenced, the total number 312 of mutations is not large enough to confidently identify signatures that are present at low 313 exposures. 314 Our work demonstrates marked differences in somatic mutation accumulation between 315 neurons and OLs in the same tissue and reveals the mutational dynamics of OLs during 316 neurotypical brain aging. In contrast to neuronal mutations, OL mutations mimic features of somatic mutations in cancers of the CNS, including (1) OL mutational signatures<sup>39</sup> and (2) the 317 318 distribution of mutations across the genome, particularly for tumors for which OPCs are believed 319 to be the cell of origin (GBM and oligodendroglioma). These observations emphasize the 320 contribution of mutations that occurred during the OPC stage to the mutation landscape of 321 mature OLs. Furthermore, the differing genomic regions enriched for somatic mutations in OLs 322 versus neurons suggests that cell type-specific mutation distributions may contribute to cell type-323 specific predispositions to particular pathologies.

324

#### 325 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 326 We thank J. Neil and the University of Maryland Brain Bank for helping with the tissue
- 327 collection. We thank the donors and their families for their invaluable donations for the
- 328 advancement of science. We thank the Boston Children's Hospital IDDRC Molecular Genetics
- 329 Core Facility, supported by NIH award U54HD090255 from the National Institute of Child
- Health and Human Development. Funding: Supported by R01AG070921 and R01AG078929
- from the NIA to CAW. CAW is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. JG was
- 332 supported by a Basic Research Fellowship from the American Brain Tumor Association
- BRF1900016 and by the Brain SPORE grant P50CA165952. S.B was supported by the Manton
- Center for Orphan Disease Research at Boston Children's Hospital, and is now supported by the
- Horizon2020 Research and Innovation Program Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions (MSCA)
- Individual Fellowship (grant agreement no. 101026484 CODICES). ZZ was supported by the
- 337 PRMRP Discovery Award W81XWH2010028 and Edward R. and Anne G. Lefler Center
- 338 Postdoctoral Fellowship.
- 339

#### 340 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

- J.G, S.B and L.J.L conceived the study; J.G and S.B performed all the experiments; L.J.L led
- 342 bioinformatic analyses helped by C.L.B H.J and A.G; J.G, and S.B contributed to bioinformatic
- analysis interpretation; S.B contributed to scRNA-seq data analyses; M.M and Z.Z contributed
- 344 neuronal PTA data; C.A.W. and P.J.P. directed the research; J.G and L.J.L wrote the manuscript
- 345 greatly helped by S.B.
- 346

#### 347 COMPETING INTERESTS

- 348 The authors declare no competing financial and/or non-financial interests.
- 349

#### 350 INCLUSION AND DIVERSITY

351 One or more of the authors of this paper self-identifies as an underrepresented ethnic minority in

- their field of research or within their geographical location.
- 353

354

#### 355 FIGURE LEGENDS

356

#### Figure 1. Oligodendrocytes and neurons exhibit contrasting patterns of somatic mutation accumulation.

- (A) Experimental strategy. Oligodendrocytes (OL; n=31 PTA, n=40 MDA) and neurons (n=51
- 360 PTA) were obtained from the brains of 17 neurotypical individuals (0-104 years of age) through
- 361 FANS using NEUN (neurons) and SOX10 (OL) antibodies. Single genomes were amplified
- using PTA or MDA and non-clonal sSNVs and indels were called using SCAN2. Mutation
- distributions were compared with scATAC-seq and scRNA-seq data obtained from a subset of
- the 17 individuals.
- 365 (B) Extrapolated genome-wide sSNV and indel burdens for OLs and neurons as a function of
- 366 age. Trend lines are mixed-effects linear regression models (see Methods).
- 367 (C) Distribution of OL and neuronal sSNVs and indels in genic and intergenic regions.
- 368 Enrichment/depletion levels are calculated by comparison to a null distribution obtained by
- 369 randomly shuffling mutations across the genome (see **Methods**).
- 370 (D) Percent of somatic mutations with HIGH, MODERATE and LOW impact on genes, as
- 371 determined by SnpEff.
- 372 See also Figure S1 and Figure S2.
- 373
- Figure 2. Comparison of single base substitution (SBS) mutational signatures in human
   oligodendrocytes and neurons.
- 376 (A) SBS mutational spectra of neuronal and oligodendrocyte sSNVs identified in this study (left
- 377 column); the spectrum of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) identified in Lee-Six
- et al.<sup>37</sup> and a signature derived from an analysis of human lymphocytes (Machado et al.<sup>38</sup>).
- 379 Cosine similarities are shown for each pair of spectra.
- **380** (**B**) The number of somatic mutations, after extrapolation to genome-wide burdens, attributed to
- each COSMIC SBS signature by least squares fitting for each PTA single OL and neuron.
- 382 Subjects are ordered from young (left) to elderly (right). A reduced COSMIC catalog of 10
- signatures with evidence of activity in either OLs or neurons was used to minimize overfitting(see Methods).
- 385 (C) Same as (B), but plotted against age. Each point represents one single cell. Trend lines are
   386 mixed-effects linear regression models (see Methods).
- 387 (D) Schematic of two pairs of related OLs and estimates of the time of division for each pair's
- 388 most recent common ancestor (MRCA). Insets show the SBS spectrum and contributions of
- 389 COSMIC signatures for sSNVs acquired before division of the MRCA (shared, top left) and
- solution of the MRCA (private, top right). Spectra in panels (D) and (E)
- are reconstructions obtained by summing exposure-weighted signatures after fitting the infant
- 392 mutations to the COSMIC SBS catalog (see **Methods**).
- 393 (E) Mutational spectra of all mutations from infant (0-2 years of age) subjects in PTA neurons
- 394 (left) and PTA OLs (right). The similarity in spectra between infant OL mutations and shared OL
- 395 mutations supports the estimated time of most recent common ancestor (MRCA) division.
- 396

#### 397

- Figure 3. Comparison of insertion and deletion (ID) COSMIC signatures in human
   oligodendrocytes and neurons.
- 400 (A) Spectra of somatic indels from human OLs and neurons using the 83-dimensional indel
- 401 classification scheme from COSMIC.
- 402 (B) Contribution of COSMIC indel signatures to each single OL and neuron. One bar represents
- 403 one single cell; cells are ordered according to age with the youngest individuals on the left and404 eldest individuals on the right.
- 405 (C) Same as (B), but signature exposure is plotted against age for each single cell; each point
- 406 represents one cell. Trend lines are mixed-effects linear regression models (see Methods). ID5
- 407 and ID8 are annotated as clock-like signatures in COSMIC.
- 408

# Figure 4. Oligodendrocyte somatic mutations are associated with inactive chromatin while neuronal mutations associate with active chromatin.

- 411 (A) Representative UMAP plot of scRNA-seq from one normal brain from our cohort (subject
- 412 1465) with cell type annotations.
- (B) Enrichment analysis of somatic mutations vs. scRNA-seq transcription level. The genome is
- 414 divided into 1 kilobase, non-overlapping windows and each window is annotated with an average
- gene expression level per cell type; windows that are <80% covered by a gene are discarded. The
- remaining windows are classified into 10 deciles, with 1 representing the least transcribed and 10
- 417 representing the most transcribed. In each decile, the observed number of somatic SNVs and
- 418 indels is compared to a null distribution of mutations obtained by randomly shuffling mutation
- 419 positions (see **Methods**). Enrichment analyses show somatic mutation density vs. transcription
- 420 level from each of the cell types identified in our scRNA-seq.
- 421 (C-D) Same as (A) for scATAC-seq from the brains of 10 subjects from this cohort.
- 422 (E) Somatic mutation density vs. replication timing as measured by ENCODE RepliSeq; lines
- 423 represent average enrichment across 15 cell lines.
- 424 (F-G) Somatic mutation density vs. 5 epigenetic marks related to gene activity (F) and two
- repressive epigenetic marks (G) measured in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex tissue (Roadmap
   Epigenomic Project, reference epigenome E073).
- 427 (H) Enrichment of somatic mutations vs. functional genomic regions identified by ChromHMM
- 428 in reference epigenome E073. Numbers in parenthesis indicate the ChromHMM state number.
- 429 (I) Enrichment of somatic mutations vs. active enhancers and promoters identified in Nott et al.
- 430 <sup>47</sup> for several brain cell types.
- 431 See also Figure S3.
- 432

#### 433

#### 434 Figure 5. Enrichment of mutational signatures in active and inactive chromatin.

- 435 Enrichment analysis of somatic mutations attributed to SBS1 (A), SBS16 (B) or SBS5 (C)—
- 436 rather than total mutation density—vs. the genomic covariates presented in Figure 4. To reduce
- 437 noise caused by the smaller number of mutations attributed to each specific mutational signature,
- the genome has been divided into three quantiles rather than ten. OLs are not plotted for SBS16
- due to noisy measurements; none of these omitted OL SBS16 enrichment tests achieved
- 440 significance at the P < 0.05 level.
- 441 See also Figure S4.
- 442

# Figure 6. Patterns of oligodendrocyte sSNVs correlate with somatic mutation density in cancer.

- (A) Correlation of OL and neuronal sSNV mutation density with cancer mutation density. For
- each cell type and cancer type, the genome was tiled with non-overlapping 1 MB bins and
- 447 numbers of mutations per bin were tabulated. CNS tumors are colored: CNS-Oligo,
- 448 oligodendroglioma, red; CNS-PiloAstro, pilocytic astrocytoma, purple; CNS-GBM, glioblastoma
- 449 multiforme, orange; CNS-Medullo, medulloblastoma, black.
- 450 (B-C) Mutation density for each tumor type was fit using a linear regression to cell type-specific
- 451 single cell chromatin accessibility signals (**B**) and single cell expression levels (**C**) using the
- 452 same 1 MB bins described in panel (A). For each tumor type and cell type, the fraction of
- 453 variance in tumor mutation density explained  $(R^2)$  by each cell type is shown.
- (**D**) Comparison of OL and neuron somatic mutation rates in frequently mutated cancer genes.
- 455 For each tumor type in PCAWG (y-axis), the 100 most frequently mutated genes were
- 456 determined. For each tumor-specific set of 100 cancer genes ( $G_T$ ) an odds ratio (OR) is computed
- 457 such that OR > 1 indicates that OL mutations are more likely to occur in  $G_T$  and OR < 1 indicates
- 458 neuronal mutations are more likely to occur in  $G_T$ . Formally, OR = (# OL sSNVs in  $G_T$  / # genic
- 459 OL sSNVs not in  $G_T$  / (# neuron sSNVs in  $G_T$  / # genic neuron sSNVs not in  $G_T$ ).
- 460 See also Figure S5.
- 461
- 462463 **METHODS**

463 M 464

# 465 **Data and code availability**

466 Newly generated sequencing data for MDA and PTA single-cell WGS of

- do oligodendrocytes, single-cell ATAC-seq, and single-cell RNA-seq are available on dbGaP,
- 468 accession number phs001485.v3.p1. Previously generated scWGS, matched bulk controls,
- and scRNA-seq data for individual 1465 are also available for download at dbGaP, accession
- 470 number phs001485.v3.p1. Scripts used for analyses in this manuscript are available on
- 471 Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7508802).
- 472
- 473 Human tissues and DNA samples

All human tissues were obtained from the NIH NeuroBioBank at the University of
Maryland. Frozen post-mortem tissues from 12 neurologically normal individuals were obtained
as part of a previous study<sup>4</sup>. Samples were processed according to a standardized protocol
(http://medschool.umaryland.edu/btbank/method2.asp) under the supervision of the NIH
NeuroBioBank ethics guidelines. Bulk DNA was extracted using the QIAamp DNA Mini kit
with RNase A treatment.

480

#### 481 Nuclear sorting and whole-genome amplification

482 Isolation of single nuclei using fluorescence-activated nuclear sorting (FANS) for NEUN and SOX10 was performed using a modified version of a previously described protocol<sup>53,54</sup>. 483 484 Briefly, nuclei were prepared by dissecting fresh-frozen human brain tissue previously stored at -80°C, dissolved on ice in chilled nuclear lysis buffer (10mM Tris-HCl, 0.32M Sucrose, 3mM 485 486 MgAc<sub>2</sub>, 5mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.1mM EDTA, pH 8, 1mM DTT, 0.1% Triton X-100) using a Dounce homogenizer. Lysates were layered on top of a sucrose cushion buffer (1.8M Sucrose, 3mM 487 488 MgAc<sub>2</sub>, 10mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 1mM DTT) and ultra-centrifuged for 1 hour at 30,000rcf. Pellets containing nuclei were resuspended in ice-cold PBS 1X supplemented with 3mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, then 489 490 filtered, blocked in PBS 1X supplemented with 3mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 3% Bovine Serum Albumin 491 (blocking solution), and stained with an anti-NEUN antibody (Millipore MAB377) previously used for neuronal nuclei isolation<sup>4,53</sup>, anti-SOX10 antibody (Novus NBP2-59621R), and DAPI. 492 493 Other antibodies targeting the OL population were also evaluated, KLK6 (Bioss bs-5870R) and 494 CNP (Bioss bs-1000R). Nuclei were washed once with blocking solution, centrifuged at 500rpm 495 for 5 minutes and resuspended again in blocking solution. Nuclear sorting was performed in one 496 nucleus per well in 96-well plates and whole-genome amplification was performed using 497 Primary Template-directed Amplification (PTA) following manufacturer guidelines. Libraries 498 for sequencing were generated using the KAPA HyperPlus kit (Roche) using dual indexes and were sequenced across 5 lanes of Ilumina NovaSeq6000 (2x150bp), targeting 20x coverage 499 500 (75Gbp)/sample.

501

#### 502 Sorting purity assessment

Three populations were sorted, DAPI, NEUN+, SOX10+/NEUN-, from one 17y
individual (Supp. Fig. S1). 10x scRNAseq was used and 6,000-10,000 nuclei from each

505 population were sorted into individual tubes containing RT mastermix, and immediately 506 processed for GEM generation, barcoding, and cDNA amplification, following manufacturer 507 instructions. Each library prep was submitted to paired-end single indexing sequencing on a lane 508 of Illumina HiSeqX to obtain ~50,000 read pairs per nucleus. Analysis of scRNA seq data was 509 performed with Loupe Cell Browser 4.q software provided by 10x genomics. Sorting purity is 510 critical when preforming single-cell whole-genome (scWGS) studies, hence we evaluated purity 511 of a larger number of cells by scRNA-seq. As a control, evaluation of 3,447 DAPI+ sorted nuclei 512 obtained from a mix of grey and white matter (PFC) from a 17yr male identified all the cell-513 types anticipated for this region and with significant presence of OLs following WM expectation<sup>55</sup>. The purity of 3,739 NEUN+ sorted nuclei was nearly 100% (Supp. Fig. S1A-C) 514 515 with ~1% (40 out of 3,739 nuclei) presence of a PLP1/MBP/MOG+ OL population, and 0.1% (3 516 out of 3,739 nuclei) of NOSTRIN+ endothelial cells. NEUN sorted nuclei can be broadly 517 classified into 60% excitatory and 40% inhibitory neurons consistent with recent reports of excitatory/inhibitory ratios<sup>56</sup>. Evaluation of 9,227 SOX10+/NEUN- sorted nuclei confirmed 518 519 100% mature OLs purity, with the absence of other cell-type markers expression (Supp. Figure 520 S1A-C). The SOX10+/NEUN- sorted nuclei showed homogenous distribution of classic mature 521 OL-markers such as PLP1, MOG, MALAT1, among others. Although SOX10 is expressed in all 522 stages of OL development, including in OPCs, our strategy consistently recovered only mature 523 OLs.

524

#### 525 10x scRNA-seq

526 scRNA-seq was performed using the 10X Genomics Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 527 3 Reagent Kit v3.1. Fresh frozen human brain tissue from the prefrontal cortex of individuals 528 UMB1465, UMB4638 and UMB4643 was processed to obtain nuclear pellets. Briefly, tissue 529 was dissociated on ice in chilled nuclear lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 0.32 M Sucrose, 3 mM 530 MgAc2, 5 mM CaCl2, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 8, 1 mM DTT, 0.1% Triton X-100) using a dounce 531 homogenizer. Homogenates were layered on top of a sucrose cushion buffer (1.8 M Sucrose, 3 532 mM MgAc2, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 1 mM DTT) and ultra-centrifuged for 1 hour at 30,000 rcf. 533 Pellets containing nuclei were resuspended in 250 µl ice-cold 1X PBS supplemented with 3 mM 534 MgCl2, 3% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) and 0.2 U/ul RNAse inhibitor (Thermo Fisher 535 Scientific ref.10777019), then filtered. After filtering, suspension volume was completed at 1 ml

536 using the same solution, and nuclei were stained with DAPI before sorting to select for intact 537 nuclei. Some of the UMB1465 samples were additionally stained with the following antibodies: 538 two samples with anti-NEUN antibody (Millipore MAB377) for neuron sorting, one sample each 539 for anti-CX43/GJA1 (Novus Biologicals, FAB7737R-1 00UG AF647), anti-SOX9 (Abcam, 540 ab196450 AF488) and anti-GFAP (Millipore, MAB3402 AF647) to enrich for glial cells, and 541 one sample with anti-SOX10 (Novus Biologicals, NBP2-59621 AF647) for oligodendrocyte 542 sorting. 10,000 to 15,000 single nuclei were sorted for each experiment directly in a tube 543 containing the 10X RT mastermix, and immediately processed for gel-bead in emulsion (GEM) 544 generation, barcoding, cDNA amplification and library preparation following manufacturer 545 instructions. Each library preparation was submitted for paired-end single indexing sequencing 546 on Illumina HiSeqX or NovaSeq6000 targeting ~50,000 read pairs per nucleus. 547

548 **10x scRNA-seq data analysis** 

549 scRNA-seq data were demultiplexed using bcl2fastq. snRNA-seq FASTO files were then processed using the 10X Genomics cellranger count pipeline for gene expression to 550 551 perform alignment to hg19, barcode counting, UMI counting, and generation of feature-barcode 552 matrices. Cell Ranger filtered count matrices were used for downstream analysis using Seurat  $3.0^{57}$ . For each library, we further filtered for cells with > 200 and < 3000 genes and <5% 553 554 mitochondrial genes, and genes with <10,000 UMI counts and >3 cells. RNA counts were 555 normalized using the LogNormalize method and the 2,000 most highly variable features were 556 identified using the vst method. Data were then scaled by regressing out the percentage of 557 mitochondrial genes. We then performed non-linear dimensional reduction and clustering. To remove doublets from our datasets, we ran DoubletFinder<sup>58</sup> using optimal parameters as per the 558 559 paramSweep function. Finally, cell-type identities were assigned to each cluster in the Uniform 560 Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) based on expression of known brain cell-type markers<sup>8</sup>. 561

562

#### 563 **10x scATAC-seq**

Nuclei from 10 individuals (1278, 1465, 4638, 4643, 5087, 5219, 5559, 5817, 5823,
5871) from our aging cohort were obtained from the same brain region as used for single cell

566 whole-genome amplification. Tissue was processed as described in nuclear sorting, and nuclei 567 were re-suspended in diluted nuclei buffer provided by the manufacturer. Nuclei derived from 568 different individuals were processed for transposition separately, before loading to the 10x 569 Chromium Controller for GEM generation, barcoding, and library construction, as per 570 manufacturer instructions. Libraries were submitted for paired-end dual index sequencing on one 571 flow cell of Illumina S2 NovaSeq6000 (100 cycles) to obtain ~50,000 reads per nucleus. 572 573 **10x scATAC-seq data analysis** 574 Sequencing data were demultiplexed using bcl2fastg and mkfastg. 575 cellranger-atac count v1.1.0 was run separately on the resulting FASTO files for each 576 scATAC-seq library (one per individual) with default parameters and the vendor-provided hg19 577 reference. Results from the individual library analyses (Cell Ranger output files 578 fragments.tsv.gz and singlecell.csv from each library) were then merged by 579 cellranger-atac aggr --normalize-depth. scATAC-seq data were analyzed by 580 Signac v1.1.0 and Seurat v3 following the authors' instructions. Briefly, the merged Cell Ranger 581 output was imported via Read10X h5 and CreateChromatinAssay; analyzed by 582 RunTFIDF, FindTopFeatures, RunSVD and RunUMAP with LSI reduction; and integrated 583 with our scRNA-seq to assign cell types via GeneActivity, FindTransferAnchors and 584 TransferData. 585

#### 586 Single neuron whole genome sequencing data

587 Sequencing data for 36 PTA-amplified single neurons and matched bulk from the 12 individuals from which OLs were harvested were downloaded from dbGaP accession 588 589 phs001485.v3.p1. These BAM files were re-analyzed by SCAN2 jointly with OLs as described 590 in Somatic mutation calling with SCAN2. For a single additional individual (5171), no PTA data 591 were generated for either neurons or OLs; in this one case, sequencing data for MDA-amplified 592 single neurons from the prefrontal cortex and a matched bulk were downloaded from the same 593 dbGaP accession as the PTA data (see Table S1). SCAN2 somatic SNV calls, indel calls, and 594 genome-wide burden estimates from 15 previously published PTA-amplified single neurons 595 from 5 additional neurotypical individuals (individuals 4976, 5451, 5572, 5666, and 5943) were 596 downloaded from https://github.com/parklab/SCAN2 PTA paper 2022.

#### 597 Somatic mutation calling with SCAN2

598 SCAN2 v1.1 was run on the 12 individuals from which PTA OLs were collected and 599 individual 5171 (MDA only). First, a cross-sample panel (required for indel calling with 600 SCAN2) was built for the 12 individuals with PTA neurons and OLs by running scan2 601 config with parameters -- analysis makepanel -- gatk=gatk3 joint; the 602 GRCh37 human reference genome with decoy hs37d5 (--ref), dbSNP v147 common (--603 dbsnp) and 1000 Genomes phase 3 SHAPEIT2 phasing panel (--shapeit-refpanel) as 604 described in ref. 10; one --sc-bam argument for each PTA BAM; and one --bam argument 605 for each of the 12 matched bulk BAMs. After generation of the cross-sample panel, SCAN2 v1.1 606 was run for each of the 12 individuals and individual 5171. For each individual, all PTA OLs 607 (and MDA OLs for individuals 1278, 5871, 5171, and 5657) and PTA neurons (MDA neurons 608 for individual 5171) were analyzed together in a single SCAN2 run. scan2 config was run 609 with the parameters --analysis=call mutations --gatk=gatk3 joint --610 abmodel-samples-per-step=20000 --abmodel-refine-steps=4 --611 abmodel-n-cores=10 and the same GRCh37 reference, dbSNP and phasing panels used 612 above. The cross-sample panel created above was specified via the --cross-sample-panel 613 parameter. Finally, SCAN2 mutation signature-based rescue was run in two batches, one for 614 PTA neuron and one for PTA OL calls, using scan2 config --analysis=rescue --615 rescue-target-fdr=0.01 followed by scan2 rescue. Mutation signature-based 616 rescue was not run on MDA neurons or OLs. For neurons only, VAF-based SCAN2 calls (i.e., 617 excluding signature-rescued SCAN2 calls) from the 15 previously analyzed PTA single neurons 618 (see Single neuron whole genome sequencing data) were added to the rescue process via --619 add-muts.

#### 620 Total mutation burden estimation and aging models

621 SCAN2 provides estimates of the total somatic SNV and indel burden in each sample 622 (i.e., the estimated total number of mutations per cell adjusted for sensitivity of mutation calling). 623 These estimates were obtained from each SCAN2 output RDA file by first loading the file in R, 624 then running object@mutburden[[`snv"]]\$burden[2]. Indel burdens were recovered 625 by replacing `snv" with ``indel". To account for variability within and between individuals, 626 mixed-effects linear models were used to estimate the aging-related rates of somatic SNV and

627 indel accumulation. These models were fit by the R lme4 package using

628 lmer (genome.burden ~ age\*celltype + (1|individual)), where celltype 629 is either oligo or neuron, individual is one of the 12 individual IDs for which PTA OLs 630 were available (i.e., excluding individual 5171) and age is the numeric age of individual. 631 Confidence intervals were estimated by confint. For linear mixed models, statistical tests of 632 significance comparing each coefficient, interaction term and intercept to a null hypothesis of 0 633 were calculated by the lmerTest R package, which uses a *t*-test based on the Satterthwaite 634 approximation. Throughout the text, these *t*-tests are referred to as LMM *t*-tests.

635 **SnpEff annotation** 

Both VAF-based and mutation signature-rescued SCAN2 somatic mutation calls were
annotated for functional impact via SnpEff version 4.3t using the hg19 database. Reported
functional impacts were taken from the first ANN field in the SnpEff annotated VCF. When
computing the fraction of mutations with LOW, MODERATE, and HIGH impact (Figure 1D),
duplicate and clustered mutations were removed as described in *Somatic mutation enrichment analysis*.

642

#### 643 Somatic mutation enrichment analysis

644 Enrichment analyses following the methodology described in ref. 10 were carried out to 645 determine whether somatic mutations accumulate preferentially in certain areas of the genome. 646 First, somatic mutations were filtered to remove duplicates and clusters of mutations in single 647 cells. For exact duplicate mutations (i.e., same position and base change or indel), if the duplicate 648 mutations all occur in cells from a single individual (suggesting a clonal mutation), a single 649 representative mutation was retained; otherwise, if the duplicate mutations span multiple 650 individuals (suggesting artifacts), all mutations were discarded. Clusters of mutations, defined as 651 any mutation within 50 bp of another mutation in the same single cell, suggest underlying alignment artifacts or structural variants and were removed before enrichment analysis. 652 653 Duplicate and clustered mutations were determined separately for MDA and PTA mutation calls. 654 For MDA OLs only, all SCAN2 mutation calls from the 20 OLs from infant brains were 655 additionally filtered prior to duplicate and cluster filtering. These were removed because the 656 mutation burden of young OLs is too small to sufficiently outnumber MDA technical artifacts.

The only enrichment analysis using MDA OL calls is the genic region enrichment analysis inFigure S2C.

659 Next, the genome was divided into regions based on a single covariate (described in more 660 detail below) and the number of somatic mutations in each region R was compared to the number 661 of mutations in R after the locations of the mutations were randomly permuted across the 662 genome. The permutation process was repeated 10,000 times and the final enrichment value  $E_R$ 663 was given by dividing the number of observed somatic mutations in each region R by the average 664 number of permuted mutations in R. For enrichment analysis of mutational signatures, the above 665 steps were followed, except: (1) somatic mutations called by SCAN2's mutation signature-based 666 rescue method were not used and (2) rather than counting the number of mutations in each region 667 R, the set of mutations in R was fit to the reduced COSMIC SBS or ID catalog (see COSMIC 668 signature catalog activity thresholds reduction) by non-negative least squares (using 669 lsqnonneq from the pracma R package) and the exposure value for each signature was used 670 in lieu of mutation counts. To obtain two-sided tests of enrichment significance for each region R, a permutation test strategy was used. Enrichment values  $E_R^{(i)}$  were computed for each of the 671 672 10,000 permutations *i* and a *P*-value was derived from the fraction of permutation sets with more 673 extreme enrichment values than the observed mutations. To avoid P-values of 0, a minimum of P 674 = 0.0001 was enforced, i.e.,

$$P_{R} = \max\left(1/10000, \frac{\left|\left\{i: \left|\log E_{R}^{(i)}\right| > \left|\log E_{R}\right|\right\}\right|}{10,000}\right).$$

To define regions *R* for enrichment analysis, a subset of the genome with anomalous
sequencing depth was first identified and removed from subsequent analyses. The human
reference genome GRCh37 with decoy sequences hs37d5 was divided into non-overlapping
windows of 100 bp and the average sequencing depth across all PTA neurons and OLs as output
by SCAN2 (file path:

path/to/scan2\_output/depth\_profile/joint\_depth\_matrix.tab.gz) was
computed for each 100 bp window. Windows with low average depth (<6 reads averaged across</li>
all PTA cells) or excessive average depth (in the top 2.5% of average depth) were classified as
anomalous. Genomic regions were next derived from non-quantitative genomic covariates
(genes, Figure S2D; exons, introns, and intergenic spaces, Figure 1C; ChromHMM classes,

Figure 4F; and cell type-specific promoters and enhancers, Figure 4G) and quantitative

- 686 covariates (GTEx transcription levels, Figure S3A; scRNA-seq transcription levels, scATAC-seq
- 687 accessibility, RepliSeq replication timing and histone mark levels, Figures 4B,D-G). For non-
- quantitative covariates, regions R were defined by the union of genomic intervals for each unique
- 689 covariate state (e.g., all exons or all regions annotated as ChromHMM state 1) and anomalous
- 690 windows were subtracted from these unions. For quantitative covariates, the genome was first
- tiled with non-overlapping 1 kbp windows (corresponding to 10 100 bp windows from the
- anomalous sequencing depth analysis). 1 kbp windows containing >2 anomalous sequencing
- 693 depth windows were discarded. For each remaining 1 kbp window *i*, a single quantitative value
- $V_i$  was derived for each covariate in a covariate-dependent manner (described in detail for each
- 695 covariate below). The distribution of values  $V_i$  were then discretized into n = 10 (for enrichment
- analysis of total mutation burden) or n = 3 quantiles (for enrichment analysis of mutation
- 697 signatures) and each window *i* was assigned its quantile rank  $Q_i$ . Finally, a region  $R_Q$  was defined
- for each quantile Q = 1... by taking the union of windows with rank Q. Genomic regions and
- the resulting enrichment analyses were always performed using one covariate at a time.

#### 700 Genomic covariates for enrichment analysis

- 701 *Gene regions.* GENCODE genes version 26 was downloaded from
- 702 https://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/gencode/Gencode\_human/releas
- 703 e\_26/GRCh37\_map
- 704 ping/gencode.v26lift37.annotation.gtf.gz. A single region was defined for
- each protein coding gene using GTEx's transcript collapse script
- 706 (https://raw.githubusercontent.com/broadinstitute/gtex-
- 707 pipeline/master/gene\_model/collapse\_annotation.py) and used for the per-
- gene enrichment analysis in Figure S2D. Exonic, intronic and intergenic regions were then
- derived from the GENCODE GTF records for the same set of genes. When multiple records
- 710 overlapped a single locus, status was prioritized as follows: CDS > UTR > exon > intron >
- 711 upstream/downstream > intergenic. Finally, loci classified as CDS, UTR or exon were classified
- as exonic, intron as intronic, and all other classes as intergenic.
- 713 ChromHMM chromatin states. 15-state ChromHMM annotations were downloaded for
- represented the presence of the second secon
- 715 https://egg2.wustl.edu/roadmap/data/byFileType/chromhmmSegmentat

- 716 ions/ChmmModels/coreMarks/jointModel/final/E073 15 coreMarks mne
- 717 monics.bed.gz.
- 718 *Promoters and enhancers for flow sorted brain cell types.* Active promoter and enhancer
- relements were extracted from Supplementary Table 5 of ref. 58 available at
- 720 https://www.science.org/doi/suppl/10.1126/science.aay0793/suppl\_
- 721 file/aay0793-nott-table-s5.xlsx. Duplicate lines in these tables were removed
- 722 prior to analysis.
- *GTEx transcription levels*. Median gene expression levels from 54 tissue types were downloaded
   from the GTEx project at
- 725 https://storage.googleapis.com/gtex\_analysis\_v8/rna\_seq\_data/GTE
- 726 x\_Analysis\_2017-06-05\_v8\_RNASeQCv1.1.9\_gene\_median\_tpm.gct.gz. For
- each tissue type, the median transcription level of each gene *G* was mapped to the genome by
- applying it over *G*'s collapsed transcript (see *Gene regions* above). Only 1 kbp genomic
- $\sim$  windows with >=80% coverage by gene transcripts were retained. If multiple genes overlapped
- the same window, the maximum TPM value was used for the window.
- 731 scRNA-seq transcription levels. Cell type-annotated gene-expression matrices for each scRNA-
- seq library were concatenated column-wise and average expression levels for each gene were
- calculated for each cell type. Gene names were then matched to the GTEx gene model and
- transcription levels for each cell type were mapped to the genome as described above for GTEx
- 735 transcription levels.
- *scATAC-seq accessibility*. ATAC-seq transposition events output by cellranger-atac (file:
- fragments.tsv.gz) were first separated by cell type (see *10x scATAC-seq data analysis*)
- and then converted to BED format. The BED file of fragments for each cell type was then
- converted to bedGraph format using bedtools genomecov -bga and finally to bigWig
- format by bedGraphToBigWig. The bigWig signal files were then mapped to the 1 kbp
- 741 genome tiles described in *Somatic mutation enrichment analysis* by
- 742 bigWigAverageOverBed.
- 743 *Replication timing.* WaveSignal RepliSeq bigWigs were downloaded from
- 744 http://hgdownload.cse.ucsc.edu/goldenpath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncod
- 745 eUwRepliSeq/wgEncodeUwRepliseq{cell\_line}WaeSignalRep1.bigWig for
- 746 15 cell lines (all available at the time of writing): BG02ES, BJ, GM06990, GM12801,

#### 747 GM12812, GM12813, GM12878, HUVEC, HeLa-S3, HepG2, IMR90, K562, MCF-7, NHEK

- and SK-N-SH. The bigWig signal files were then mapped to the 1 kbp genome tiles described in
- 749 *Somatic mutation enrichment analysis* by bigWigAverageOverBed; quantile values were
- then reversed so that Q = 1 corresponded to the earliest replication timing quantile.
- 751 *Histone marks.* bigWig signal files representing ChIP-seq fold-change versus a no-IP control
- vere downloaded for 13 Roadmap reference epigenomes annotated as brain tissue from
- 753 https://egg2.wustl.edu/roadmap/data/byFileType/signal/consolidat
- 754 ed/macs2signal/foldChange/{epigenome ID}-
- 755 {histone\_mark}.fc.signal.bigwig for 7 histone\_mark values H3K27ac,
- H3K27me3, H3K36me3, H3K4me1, H3K4me3, H3K9ac and H3K9me3. The bigWig signal
- files were then mapped to the 1 kbp genome tiles described in *Somatic mutation enrichment*
- 758 *analysis* by bigWigAverageOverBed.

#### 759 COSMIC signature catalog activity thresholds and reduction

760 To reduce the possibility of overfitting by signatures that may not be active in our cell 761 types, all signature fits were conducted on a subset of the COSMIC v3.1 SBS and ID catalogs. 762 The catalogs were reduced by several heuristics designed to remove signatures with low 763 likelihood of activity in our data. The first heuristic is a step-forward procedure in which each 764 step *i* determines the single COSMIC signature that minimizes the residual (resid.norm) of 765 the non-negative least-squares fit (lsqnonneq) to the (signature channel)  $\times$  (sample) input matrix compared to the signatures present in step (i - 1). At each step, this reduction in residual 766 767 is compared to the residual using 0 signatures (i.e., the norm of the input matrix) to determine the 768 percent reduction of the signature selected at each step *i*. The second heuristic is designed to find 769 evidence of age-related accumulation of each signature. First, the (signature channel)  $\times$  (sample) 770 input matrix is fit by lsqnonneq to the entire COSMIC SBS or ID catalog to determine exposure levels  $E_{i,j}$  for each sample *i* and signature *j*. For each signature *j*, a linear model  $E_{i,j} \sim$ 771 772  $age_i$  is fit and the significance of the test for slope=0 (*t*-test) is recorded. Finally, the significance values for all signatures tested are corrected for multiple hypothesis testing by R's p.adjust 773 774 method using Holm's correction. The third heuristic measures the percent contribution  $P_i$  of each signature j to the total spectrum; i.e.,  $P_i = \sum_i E_{i,i} / \sum_{i,j} E_{i,j}$ . These three heuristics are computed 775 776 separately for neurons and OLs using VAF-based SCAN2 somatic mutation calls (to avoid

signature biases of signature-rescued SCAN2 calls). For each set of heuristics, signature *j* is

retained if either: heuristic (1) exceeds a 50% reduction in the residual; or if heuristic (2) < 0.01

after multiple hypothesis correction and heuristic (3) exceeds 1% of the total spectrum. The final

set of retained signatures is the union of signatures retained in either neurons or OLs.

#### 781 Analysis of related oligodendrocyte pairs

782 Shared somatic SNVs were determined for each pair of single cells within each 783 individual. A shared sSNV was defined as a SCAN2 call present in at least one of the two cells 784 and for which 2 or more mutation supporting reads appear in the other cell. Private mutations 785 were SCAN2 calls that: (1) did not meet the shared mutation criteria and (2) were supported by 0786 reads and a total depth of 6 or greater in the paired cell. These heuristics identified two pairs of 787 oligodendrocytes with exceptionally high numbers of shared sSNVs (5559-Oligo-5 and 5559-788 Oligo-8, PTA-amplified OLs from individual 5559; 5657-GliaLC-4-F11 and GliaLC-4-G10, 789 MDA-amplified OLs from individual 5657).

790 Because the pair of OLs from individual 5657 were MDA-amplified, it was necessary to 791 remove artifacts associated with MDA, which follow a specific SBS signature termed Signature B<sup>10</sup>. For signature analysis, removal of this signature was achieved by adding Signature B to the 792 793 reduced COSMIC catalog before fitting by lsgnonneg and then discarding exposures to 794 Signature B. Although PTA induces a much lower artifact burden than MDA, a similar correction was performed to remove PTA artifacts from the spectra presented in Figure 2D,E: the 795 796 PTA artifact signature was added to the reduced COSMIC catalog, mutations were fit by 797 lsgnonneg and finally the reconstructed spectrum was created by summing COSMIC 798 signatures, weighted by their exposures, and excluding the PTA artifact weights.

799 To estimate time to the most recent common ancestor (MRCA) split, several corrections 800 to account for sensitivity and shared vs. private misclassification were required. To quantify shared vs. private misclassifications, we applied the shared and private criteria for somatic SNVs 801 802 to germline heterozygous SNPs (hSNPs) known to be present in the individual, which should 803 always be shared between single cells from the same individual. The number of shared sSNVs 804 was then multiplied by (1 + fraction of hSNPs classified as private). The number of private 805 sSNVs was then reduced by the amount added to the shared sSNV count. The opposite error, a 806 private sSNV classified as shared, should occur rarely since it requires a random artifact to

intersect with a true mutation; we thus assumed this rate to be approximately 0. For the pair of

- 808 MDA OLs, the number of private sSNVs was reduced by the fraction of the private sSNV
- spectrum attributed to the MDA artifact Signature B after fitting to the COSMIC SBS catalog
- 810 with Signature B. SCAN2's estimate of the total mutation burden (which accounts for mutation
- calling sensitivity) was also reduced by the Signature B exposure fraction and SCAN2's calling
- 812 sensitivity re-estimated by S = (# SCAN2 calls) / adjusted total burden. The final corrected
- shared sSNV and private sSNV counts reported in Figure 2D were computed by dividing by *S*.
- 814 The time to MRCA was estimated by counting mutations forward from the initial zygote (i.e.,
- using the number of corrected shared sSNVs) and backward (i.e., using the number of corrected
- 816 private sSNVs). In each case, the corrected number of mutations was converted to a time in years
- 817 by first subtracting the intercept of our OL sSNV aging model and then dividing by the slope of
- 818 the OL aging model.

#### 819 Cancer mutation density

- 820 PCAWG cancer somatic SNV and indel mutation catalogs were obtained in MAF format from
- the ICGC portal
- 822 (https://dcc.icgc.org/releases/PCAWG/consensus\_snv\_indel).

823 Hypermutated tumors within each tumor type were identified by Tukey's method and mutations

from these tumors were removed. Next, cancer mutations for each sample were mapped to the

825 100 bp windows used for detecting anomalous sequencing depth (described in *Somatic mutation* 

826 *enrichment analysis*) and the count of mutations in each window was then normalized by the

- total number of mutations in that sample. Finally, a track representing the mutation density for
- 828 each tumor type was created by summing normalized window counts across samples from the
- 829 same tumor type and written in bigWig format via rtracklayer's export.bw function.
- Because 100 bp or 1 kbp windows contain too few mutations for meaningful correlation
  analyses with our neuron and OL somatic mutations, the per-tumor bigWig signal files with 100
  bp resolution were mapped to a non-overlapping 1 Mbp genome tiling by
- 833 bigWigAverageOverBed. 1 Mbp windows with anomalous sequencing depth were then
- removed following the same requirements for the 1 kbp tiling windows described in *Somatic*
- 835 *mutation enrichment analysis*. Total neuron and OL mutation counts were also determined over
- these 1 Mbp windows and correlations between somatic mutation density in OLs, neurons and
- 837 each tumor type were shown in Figure 6A. A similar downscaling of signals from 1 kbp

resolution to 1 Mbp resolution via bigWigAverageOverBed was required for comparing
cancer mutation densities to scRNA-seq and scATAC-seq signals.

840

#### 841 Cancer gene odds ratio analysis

842 Since the sizes of individual genes are usually too small for detecting mutation 843 enrichment or depletion with our catalog of somatic mutations from OLs and neurons, we 844 created a larger genomic region by considering sets of genes. PCAWG mutations (without 845 hypermutated samples, as described in *Cancer mutation density*) were mapped to genes by 846 SnpEff as described above. For each tumor type, a list of genes ordered by number of somatic 847 mutations intersecting the gene was constructed. For each tumor type, 50 progressively larger 848 genomic regions corresponding to the union of the top 10, 20, ..., 500 genes were created. In 849 each region *R*, the rates of OL mutations and neuron mutations impacting the region were 850 compared using the odds ratio

 $OR_R = \frac{\text{\# oligo mutations in } R \text{ / \# oligo mutations not in } R}{\text{\# neuron mutations in } R \text{ / \# neuron mutations not in } R}.$ 

Thus,  $OR_R > 1$  implies a preference for OL mutations in the genes represented in *R* and  $OR_R < 1$ implies a preference for neuronal mutations in *R*. Figure 6D presents odds ratios for the top 100

genes in each tumor type and the full analysis is presented in Figure S5.

854

#### 855 **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Genovese, G., Kahler, A.K., Handsaker, R.E., Lindberg, J., Rose, S.A., Bakhoum, S.F.,
   Chambert, K., Mick, E., Neale, B.M., Fromer, M., et al. (2014). Clonal hematopoiesis and
   blood-cancer risk inferred from blood DNA sequence. N Engl J Med *371*, 2477-2487.
   10.1056/NEJMoa1409405.
- 860 2. Jaiswal, S., Fontanillas, P., Flannick, J., Manning, A., Grauman, P.V., Mar, B.G., Lindsley, 861 R.C., Mermel, C.H., Burtt, N., Chavez, A., et al. (2014). Age-related clonal hematopoiesis 862 associated with adverse outcomes. Ν Engl J Med 371, 2488-2498. 863 10.1056/NEJMoa1408617.
- Lee-Six, H., Olafsson, S., Ellis, P., Osborne, R.J., Sanders, M.A., Moore, L.,
  Georgakopoulos, N., Torrente, F., Noorani, A., Goddard, M., et al. (2019). The landscape
  of somatic mutation in normal colorectal epithelial cells. Nature *574*, 532-537.
  10.1038/s41586-019-1672-7.
- Lodato, M.A., Rodin, R.E., Bohrson, C.L., Coulter, M.E., Barton, A.R., Kwon, M., Sherman,
  M.A., Vitzthum, C.M., Luquette, L.J., Yandava, C.N., et al. (2018). Aging and
  neurodegeneration are associated with increased mutations in single human neurons.
  Science (New York, N.Y 359, 555-559. 10.1126/science.aao4426.
- 872 5. Martincorena, I., Fowler, J.C., Wabik, A., Lawson, A.R.J., Abascal, F., Hall, M.W.J., Cagan, 873 A., Murai, K., Mahbubani, K., Stratton, M.R., et al. (2018). Somatic mutant clones 874 colonize the human esophagus with age. Science 362, 911-917. 875 10.1126/science.aau3879.
- 876 6. Martincorena, I., Roshan, A., Gerstung, M., Ellis, P., Van Loo, P., McLaren, S., Wedge,
  877 D.C., Fullam, A., Alexandrov, L.B., Tubio, J.M., et al. (2015). Tumor evolution. High
  878 burden and pervasive positive selection of somatic mutations in normal human skin.
  879 Science 348, 880-886. 10.1126/science.aaa6806.
- Moore, L., Leongamornlert, D., Coorens, T.H.H., Sanders, M.A., Ellis, P., Dentro, S.C.,
  Dawson, K.J., Butler, T., Rahbari, R., Mitchell, T.J., et al. (2020). The mutational
  landscape of normal human endometrial epithelium. Nature 580, 640-646.
  10.1038/s41586-020-2214-z.
- 884 Bizzotto, S., Dou, Y., Ganz, J., Doan, R.N., Kwon, M., Bohrson, C.L., Kim, S.N., Bae, T., 8. 885 Abyzov, A., Network, N.B.S.M., et al. (2021). Landmarks of human embryonic 886 development inscribed in somatic Science mutations. 371, 1249-1253. 887 10.1126/science.abe1544.
- Abascal, F., Harvey, L.M.R., Mitchell, E., Lawson, A.R.J., Lensing, S.V., Ellis, P., Russell,
  A.J.C., Alcantara, R.E., Baez-Ortega, A., Wang, Y., et al. (2021). Somatic mutation
  landscapes at single-molecule resolution. Nature. 10.1038/s41586-021-03477-4.
- Luquette, L.J., Miller, M.B., Zhou, Z., Bohrson, C.L., Zhao, Y., Jin, H., Gulhan, D., Ganz, J.,
  Bizzotto, S., Kirkham, S., et al. (2022). Single-cell genome sequencing of human neurons
  identifies somatic point mutation and indel enrichment in regulatory elements. Nat
  Genet 54, 1564-1571. 10.1038/s41588-022-01180-2.

Miller, M.B., Huang, A.Y., Kim, J., Zhou, Z., Kirkham, S.L., Maury, E.A., Ziegenfuss, J.S.,
Reed, H.C., Neil, J.E., Rento, L., et al. (2022). Somatic genomic changes in single
Alzheimer's disease neurons. Nature 604, 714-722. 10.1038/s41586-022-04640-1.

- Summing-Dixon, F.M., Brickman, A.M., Cheng, J.C., and Alexopoulos, G.S. (2009). Aging of
  cerebral white matter: a review of MRI findings. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 24, 109-117.
  10.1002/gps.2087.
- 90113.Liu, H., Yang, Y., Xia, Y., Zhu, W., Leak, R.K., Wei, Z., Wang, J., and Hu, X. (2017). Aging of902cerebral white matter. Ageing Res Rev 34, 64-76. 10.1016/j.arr.2016.11.006.
- 90314.Lee, S.H., Kim, S.S., Tae, W.S., Lee, S.Y., Choi, J.W., Koh, S.B., and Kwon, D.Y. (2011).904Regional volume analysis of the Parkinson disease brain in early disease stage: gray905matter, white matter, striatum, and thalamus. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 32, 682-687.90610.3174/ajnr.A2372.
- Salat, D.H., Greve, D.N., Pacheco, J.L., Quinn, B.T., Helmer, K.G., Buckner, R.L., and Fischl,
  B. (2009). Regional white matter volume differences in nondemented aging and
  Alzheimer's disease. Neuroimage 44, 1247-1258. 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.10.030.
- 16. Koshiyama, D., Fukunaga, M., Okada, N., Morita, K., Nemoto, K., Usui, K., Yamamori, H.,
  Yasuda, Y., Fujimoto, M., Kudo, N., et al. (2020). White matter microstructural
  alterations across four major psychiatric disorders: mega-analysis study in 2937
  individuals. Mol Psychiatry 25, 883-895. 10.1038/s41380-019-0553-7.
- 17. Laug, D., Glasgow, S.M., and Deneen, B. (2018). A glial blueprint for gliomagenesis. Nat
   915 Rev Neurosci *19*, 393-403. 10.1038/s41583-018-0014-3.
- 916 18. Ganz, J., Maury, E.A., Becerra, B., Bizzotto, S., Doan, R.N., Kenny, C.J., Shin, T., Kim, J.,
  917 Zhou, Z., Ligon, K.L., et al. (2021). Rates and patterns of clonal oncogenic mutations in
  918 the normal human brain. Cancer Discov. 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-21-0245.
- 919 19. Behrendt, G., Baer, K., Buffo, A., Curtis, M.A., Faull, R.L., Rees, M.I., Gotz, M., and Dimou,
  920 L. (2013). Dynamic changes in myelin aberrations and oligodendrocyte generation in
  921 chronic amyloidosis in mice and men. Glia *61*, 273-286. 10.1002/glia.22432.
- 92220.Jellinger, K.A. (2018).MultipleSystemAtrophy:AnOligodendroglioneural923Synucleinopathy1. J Alzheimers Dis 62, 1141-1179.10.3233/JAD-170397.
- 924 21. Tkachev, D., Mimmack, M.L., Ryan, M.M., Wayland, M., Freeman, T., Jones, P.B.,
  925 Starkey, M., Webster, M.J., Yolken, R.H., and Bahn, S. (2003). Oligodendrocyte
  926 dysfunction in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Lancet *362*, 798-805. 10.1016/S0140927 6736(03)14289-4.
- 928 22. Nagy, C., Maitra, M., Tanti, A., Suderman, M., Theroux, J.F., Davoli, M.A., Perlman, K.,
  929 Yerko, V., Wang, Y.C., Tripathy, S.J., et al. (2020). Single-nucleus transcriptomics of the
  930 prefrontal cortex in major depressive disorder implicates oligodendrocyte precursor
  931 cells and excitatory neurons. Nat Neurosci 23, 771-781. 10.1038/s41593-020-0621-y.
- Yeung, M.S.Y., Djelloul, M., Steiner, E., Bernard, S., Salehpour, M., Possnert, G., Brundin,
  L., and Frisen, J. (2019). Dynamics of oligodendrocyte generation in multiple sclerosis.
  Nature 566, 538-542. 10.1038/s41586-018-0842-3.
- 935 Yeung, M.S., Zdunek, S., Bergmann, O., Bernard, S., Salehpour, M., Alkass, K., Perl, S., 24. 936 Tisdale, J., Possnert, G., Brundin, L., et al. (2014). Dynamics of oligodendrocyte 937 generation and myelination in the human brain. Cell 159, 766-774. 938 10.1016/j.cell.2014.10.011.

939 25. Rivkin, M.J., Flax, J., Mozell, R., Osathanondh, R., Volpe, J.J., and Villa-Komaroff, L.
940 (1995). Oligodendroglial development in human fetal cerebrum. Ann Neurol *38*, 92-101.
941 10.1002/ana.410380116.

- Barnabe-Heider, F., Goritz, C., Sabelstrom, H., Takebayashi, H., Pfrieger, F.W., Meletis,
  K., and Frisen, J. (2010). Origin of new glial cells in intact and injured adult spinal cord.
  Cell Stem Cell *7*, 470-482. 10.1016/j.stem.2010.07.014.
- Sim, F.J., Zhao, C., Penderis, J., and Franklin, R.J. (2002). The age-related decrease in CNS
  remyelination efficiency is attributable to an impairment of both oligodendrocyte
  progenitor recruitment and differentiation. J Neurosci 22, 2451-2459. 20026217.
- 28. Zong, H., Parada, L.F., and Baker, S.J. (2015). Cell of origin for malignant gliomas and its
  implication in therapeutic development. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 7.
  10.1101/cshperspect.a020610.
- 29. Liu, C., Sage, J.C., Miller, M.R., Verhaak, R.G., Hippenmeyer, S., Vogel, H., Foreman, O.,
  Bronson, R.T., Nishiyama, A., Luo, L., and Zong, H. (2011). Mosaic analysis with double
  markers reveals tumor cell of origin in glioma. Cell 146, 209-221.
  10.1016/j.cell.2011.06.014.
- 955 30. Neftel, C., Laffy, J., Filbin, M.G., Hara, T., Shore, M.E., Rahme, G.J., Richman, A.R.,
  956 Silverbush, D., Shaw, M.L., Hebert, C.M., et al. (2019). An Integrative Model of Cellular
  957 States, Plasticity, and Genetics for Glioblastoma. Cell *178*, 835-849 e821.
  958 10.1016/j.cell.2019.06.024.
- Filbin, M.G., Tirosh, I., Hovestadt, V., Shaw, M.L., Escalante, L.E., Mathewson, N.D.,
  Neftel, C., Frank, N., Pelton, K., Hebert, C.M., et al. (2018). Developmental and
  oncogenic programs in H3K27M gliomas dissected by single-cell RNA-seq. Science 360,
  331-335. 10.1126/science.aao4750.
- 32. Martincorena, I., Raine, K.M., Gerstung, M., Dawson, K.J., Haase, K., Van Loo, P., Davies,
  H., Stratton, M.R., and Campbell, P.J. (2017). Universal Patterns of Selection in Cancer
  and Somatic Tissues. Cell *171*, 1029-1041 e1021. 10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.042.
- Gonzalez-Pena, V., Natarajan, S., Xia, Y., Klein, D., Carter, R., Pang, Y., Shaner, B., Annu,
  K., Putnam, D., Chen, W., et al. (2021). Accurate genomic variant detection in single cells
  with primary template-directed amplification. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *118*.
  10.1073/pnas.2024176118.
- 970 34. Osorio, F.G., Rosendahl Huber, A., Oka, R., Verheul, M., Patel, S.H., Hasaart, K., de la
  971 Fonteijne, L., Varela, I., Camargo, F.D., and van Boxtel, R. (2018). Somatic Mutations
  972 Reveal Lineage Relationships and Age-Related Mutagenesis in Human Hematopoiesis.
  973 Cell Rep 25, 2308-2316 e2304. 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.11.014.
- Montgomery, S.B., Goode, D.L., Kvikstad, E., Albers, C.A., Zhang, Z.D., Mu, X.J., Ananda,
  G., Howie, B., Karczewski, K.J., Smith, K.S., et al. (2013). The origin, evolution, and
  functional impact of short insertion-deletion variants identified in 179 human genomes.
  Genome Res 23, 749-761. 10.1101/gr.148718.112.
- 97836.Cingolani, P. (2022). Variant Annotation and Functional Prediction: SnpEff. Methods Mol979Biol 2493, 289-314. 10.1007/978-1-0716-2293-3\_19.
- 98037.Lee-Six, H., Obro, N.F., Shepherd, M.S., Grossmann, S., Dawson, K., Belmonte, M.,981Osborne, R.J., Huntly, B.J.P., Martincorena, I., Anderson, E., et al. (2018). Population

982dynamics of normal human blood inferred from somatic mutations. Nature 561, 473-983478. 10.1038/s41586-018-0497-0.

- 38. Machado, H.E., Mitchell, E., Obro, N.F., Kubler, K., Davies, M., Leongamornlert, D., Cull,
  A., Maura, F., Sanders, M.A., Cagan, A.T.J., et al. (2022). Diverse mutational landscapes
  in human lymphocytes. Nature *608*, 724-732. 10.1038/s41586-022-05072-7.
- 987 39. Alexandrov, L.B., Kim, J., Haradhvala, N.J., Huang, M.N., Tian Ng, A.W., Wu, Y., Boot, A.,
  988 Covington, K.R., Gordenin, D.A., Bergstrom, E.N., et al. (2020). The repertoire of
  989 mutational signatures in human cancer. Nature *578*, 94-101. 10.1038/s41586-020-1943990 3.
- 40. Alexandrov, L.B., Jones, P.H., Wedge, D.C., Sale, J.E., Campbell, P.J., Nik-Zainal, S., and
  Stratton, M.R. (2015). Clock-like mutational processes in human somatic cells. Nat Genet
  47, 1402-1407. 10.1038/ng.3441.
- Reijns, M.A.M., Parry, D.A., Williams, T.C., Nadeu, F., Hindshaw, R.L., Rios Szwed, D.O.,
  Nicholson, M.D., Carroll, P., Boyle, S., Royo, R., et al. (2022). Signatures of TOP1
  transcription-associated mutagenesis in cancer and germline. Nature 602, 623-631.
  10.1038/s41586-022-04403-y.
- 998
   42.
   Consortium, I.T.P.-C.A.o.W.G. (2020). Pan-cancer analysis of whole genomes. Nature

   999
   578, 82-93. 10.1038/s41586-020-1969-6.
- 100043.Consortium, G.T. (2020). The GTEx Consortium atlas of genetic regulatory effects across1001human tissues. Science 369, 1318-1330. 10.1126/science.aaz1776.
- Marchal, C., Sasaki, T., Vera, D., Wilson, K., Sima, J., Rivera-Mulia, J.C., Trevilla-Garcia, C.,
  Nogues, C., Nafie, E., and Gilbert, D.M. (2018). Genome-wide analysis of replication
  timing by next-generation sequencing with E/L Repli-seq. Nat Protoc *13*, 819-839.
  1005 10.1038/nprot.2017.148.
- 1006 45. Roadmap Epigenomics, C., Kundaje, A., Meuleman, W., Ernst, J., Bilenky, M., Yen, A., Heravi-Moussavi, A., Kheradpour, P., Zhang, Z., Wang, J., et al. (2015). Integrative 1007 reference 1008 analysis of 111 human epigenomes. Nature 518, 317-330. 10.1038/nature14248. 1009
- 101046.Ernst, J., and Kellis, M. (2017). Chromatin-state discovery and genome annotation with1011ChromHMM. Nat Protoc 12, 2478-2492. 10.1038/nprot.2017.124.
- 101247.Nott, A., Holtman, I.R., Coufal, N.G., Schlachetzki, J.C.M., Yu, M., Hu, R., Han, C.Z., Pena,1013M., Xiao, J., Wu, Y., et al. (2019). Brain cell type-specific enhancer-promoter interactome1014maps and disease-risk association. Science 366, 1134-1139. 10.1126/science.aay0793.
- Polak, P., Karlic, R., Koren, A., Thurman, R., Sandstrom, R., Lawrence, M., Reynolds, A.,
  Rynes, E., Vlahovicek, K., Stamatoyannopoulos, J.A., and Sunyaev, S.R. (2015). Cell-oforigin chromatin organization shapes the mutational landscape of cancer. Nature *518*,
  360-364. 10.1038/nature14221.
- 1019 49. Huang, W., Bhaduri, A., Velmeshev, D., Wang, S., Wang, L., Rottkamp, C.A., Alvarez-Buylla, A., Rowitch, D.H., and Kriegstein, A.R. (2020). Origins and Proliferative States of 1020 1021 Oligodendrocyte Precursor Cells. Cell 594-608 Human 182, e511. 10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.027. 1022
- 1023 50. Hussmann, J.A., Ling, J., Ravisankar, P., Yan, J., Cirincione, A., Xu, A., Simpson, D., Yang,
  1024 D., Bothmer, A., Cotta-Ramusino, C., et al. (2021). Mapping the genetic landscape of
  1025 DNA double-strand break repair. Cell *184*, 5653-5669 e5625. 10.1016/j.cell.2021.10.002.

- 102651.Reid, D.A., Reed, P.J., Schlachetzki, J.C.M., Nitulescu, II, Chou, G., Tsui, E.C., Jones, J.R.,1027Chandran, S., Lu, A.T., McClain, C.A., et al. (2021). Incorporation of a nucleoside analog1028maps genome repair sites in postmitotic human neurons. Science 372, 91-94.102910.1126/science.abb9032.
- 103052.Wu, W., Hill, S.E., Nathan, W.J., Paiano, J., Callen, E., Wang, D., Shinoda, K., van1031Wietmarschen, N., Colon-Mercado, J.M., Zong, D., et al. (2021). Neuronal enhancers are1032hotspots for DNA single-strand break repair. Nature 593, 440-444. 10.1038/s41586-021-103303468-5.
- Lodato, M.A., Woodworth, M.B., Lee, S., Evrony, G.D., Mehta, B.K., Karger, A., Lee, S.,
  Chittenden, T.W., D'Gama, A.M., Cai, X., et al. (2015). Somatic mutation in single human
  neurons tracks developmental and transcriptional history. Science 350, 94-98.
  10.1126/science.aab1785.
- 103854.Evrony, G.D., Cai, X., Lee, E., Hills, L.B., Elhosary, P.C., Lehmann, H.S., Parker, J.J., Atabay,1039K.D., Gilmore, E.C., Poduri, A., et al. (2012). Single-neuron sequencing analysis of L11040retrotransposition and somatic mutation in the human brain. Cell 151, 483-496.104110.1016/j.cell.2012.09.035.
- 104255.von Bartheld, C.S., Bahney, J., and Herculano-Houzel, S. (2016). The search for true1043numbers of neurons and glial cells in the human brain: A review of 150 years of cell1044counting. J Comp Neurol 524, 3865-3895. 10.1002/cne.24040.
- 104556.Hodge, R.D., Bakken, T.E., Miller, J.A., Smith, K.A., Barkan, E.R., Graybuck, L.T., Close, J.L.,1046Long, B., Johansen, N., Penn, O., et al. (2019). Conserved cell types with divergent1047features in human versus mouse cortex. Nature 573, 61-68. 10.1038/s41586-019-1506-10487.
- Stuart, T., Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Hafemeister, C., Papalexi, E., Mauck, W.M., 3rd, Hao,
  Y., Stoeckius, M., Smibert, P., and Satija, R. (2019). Comprehensive Integration of SingleCell Data. Cell *177*, 1888-1902 e1821. 10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.031.
- 105258.McGinnis, C.S., Murrow, L.M., and Gartner, Z.J. (2019). DoubletFinder: Doublet1053Detection in Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Data Using Artificial Nearest Neighbors. Cell1054Syst 8, 329-337 e324. 10.1016/j.cels.2019.03.003.
- 1055
- 1056 Supplementary Information is available for this paper.
- 1057
- 1058 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to CAW and PJP.

### Figure 1



Figure 2



# Figure 3



signature

signature









FACS-purified cell type Nott et al. (2019)

# Figure 5



### Figure 6

